1. Per the RFQ, only the work history forms can be prepared on 11 x 17. Will you allow 11 x 17 for the org chart as well, and a smaller font than 12?

\textit{VDOT Response: No, Due to scope and complexity of the project, the organizational chart shall be prepared on 8.5” x 11” white paper. As indicated in the RFQ, all printing, except for the front cover of the Statement of Qualifications, should be Times New Roman, with a font of 12-point (Times New Roman 10-point font may be used for filling out information on the Key (Personnel Resume Form and Work History Forms). This requirement does not apply to text on the organizational chart, any maps, phase diagrams, or other graphics included in an Offerors proposal. Text that is part of a graphic can be modified within reason. All text that is part of a graphic should be readable and should be no smaller than Times New Roman 10 point. Larger text can be used as well.}

2. Can you please tell me if VDOT will be providing surveys, done by VDOT or its on-call survey consultant, to the Design-Builder or if the Design-Builder will need to include those survey services in its project scope and submittal to VDOT?

\textit{VDOT Response: VDOT will include the survey done to produce the conceptual plans with the RFP. The Design-Builder would need to verify and supplement the survey as needed per their design.}

3. Section 5.2 Format: The Statement of Qualifications format is prescribed below. If VDOT determines that an SOQ does not comply with or satisfy the format of this Section VDOT may find such Statements of Qualifications to be non-responsive. The order of the SOQ on page 18 is different than the order of the SOQ checklist provided. Which order should be followed?

\textit{VDOT Response: As noted in Section 5.2.2.3, the SOQs shall follow the order set forth in Section 3.0.}

4. Is the organizational chart allowed to be 11x17?

\textit{VDOT Response: See response to Question 1.}

5. The Offeror is to provide an 8.5” x 11” copy of the Offeror’s VDOT prequalification certificate or evidence indicating Offeror is currently prequalified in the appendix of the SOQ. Per an
email received from the prequalification department, certificates are no longer issued. Is a copy of the list of prequalified vendors acceptable?

**VDOT Response:** A copy of the current listing of the Firm’s prequalification posted on VDOT’s website is acceptable. This will be addressed in an upcoming addendum.

6. Please provide any preliminary information on existing geotechnical conditions.

**VDOT Response:** The geotechnical study is ongoing. Information related to geotechnical conditions including the Geotechnical Data Report (GDR) will be provided with the RFP.

7. As per the preproposal information meeting, what process will VDOT follow to entertain and potentially approve innovative intersection alternatives during the RFP stage? Does VDOT intend to consider ATCs, Proprietary Meetings or Confidential Q&A?

**VDOT Response:** Proprietary meetings will be held for confidential proposal related discussions during the RFP stage. The Formal Alternative Technical Concepts (ATC) Process will not be used for this project.

8. The RFQ states that VDOT will coordinate the adjustment of the transmission and distribution facilities. Please confirm that this includes the environmental studies and permitting associated with these relocations. Please confirm that there will be no required alignment shifts or any coordination associated with these utilities needed from the design-build team. Please provide a schedule for design and relocation of the facilities and confirm that delays to that schedule will not be the responsibility of the design-build team.

**VDOT Response:** VDOT is only coordinating the adjustment of the Dominion Energy Transmission and Distribution Facilities located within the 200’ VEPCO Easement identified on the Preliminary Plans. Dominion Energy will be required to obtain any necessary environmental permits or studies associated with this adjustment. The proposed relocation plan and schedule will be provided with the RFP.

9. Please confirm that the Team’s Organization Chart can be submitted on 11”x17” paper

**VDOT Response:** See response to Question 1.

10. Will the scope of the project include any landscaping requirements?

**VDOT Response:** This project will only include the VDOT roadside development with no additional landscaping. In addition to roadside development, landscaping requirements related to replanting/restoration will be included as part of this project. Requirements will be included in the RFP.
11. With other ongoing VDOT pursuits, would VDOT consider a 4-30-19 SOQ submittal date in lieu of the current 4-23-19 SOQ submittal date?

*VDOT Response:* The SOQ submission date will be changed to April 30, 2019. This will be included in an upcoming addendum.

12. What third party coordination will be required with James City County and Newport News?

*VDOT Response:* As noted in Section 2.2, Third Party coordination is the responsibility of the Design-Builder. Any specific Third Party coordination related to the Project will be included in the RFP.

13. Please confirm betterments for State and County owned property is excluded from DB responsibility.

*VDOT Response:* The Design-Builder shall be responsible for all coordination of any betterments required for the Project. Betterments will not be part of the Offeror’s Price but will be reimbursed to the Design-Builder through agreement with the requesting owner.

14. What is the completion date for the relocation of the overhead power lines?

*VDOT Response:* The proposed relocation plan and schedule will be provided with the RFP.

15. Please provide the planned vertical clearance/rise elevation and location for the relocated power lines? Please provide the Minimum vertical from the roadway to the relocated power lines?

*VDOT Response:* The proposed relocation plan, schedule, and minimum vertical clearance requirements will be provided with the RFP.

16. Will the borings and geotechnical testing be performed, completed, and provided to the teams prior to RFP?

*VDOT Response:* See response to Question 6.

17. Will VDOT provide the digital file for the wetland and WOUS delineation? Will VDOT provide digital copies of the Technical Reports prepared in support of the NEPA process?

*VDOT Response:* The Wetland delineation file will be provided with the RFP. The Technical Reports from the NEPA process are located at: http://www.virginiadot.org/projects/hamptonroads/skiffes_creek.asp.

18. With regard to Addendum #2. Short listed proposers are now allowed to take borings and perform soil investigations in the cross hatched area as shown on Exhibit 1-2 of the Geotechnical Investigation dated 4/19/19. Thank you, however, whether we take the borings
pre-bid, or not, Scope Validation for geotechnical issues will no longer be allowed in that designated area. This will require all responsible bidders to take their own borings thereby increasing the cost of proposing on this project.

- **Question number 1** – Will the stipend be increased to account for this increase in cost.

- **Question number 2** – Since all three proposers will be taking borings would VDOT consider taking one set of common borings (including desired testing and lab work) once the Short list is announced in the locations as selected by the shortlisted firms. To have three separate teams taking similar borings concurrently, on the same property, with potentially no reimbursement does not seem to be in the best interest of the program.

**VDOT Response:** Please note, VDOT is allowing the opportunity to perform additional geotechnical investigation/evaluation for all Offerors up until the submission date of Technical Proposals. It is not limited to the firms that will be shortlisted. VDOT shall not be responsible for any cost incurred for conducting any additional geotechnical investigations. The stipend will not be increased. The requirements for the additional geotechnical investigation are posted on VDOT’s Design-Build RFQ website.