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EVOLUTION/HISTORY

• Over 30 years, VDOT evolved from Marshall to SUPERPAVE Design Procedures

• Progressed from the S-Series, to SM-2 Series to the present SM-9.5/12.5 mixes

• Moved from AC-10/20/30/40 to PG 64-22, 70-22 and 76-22 in 1996/1997

• Full implementation of SUPERPAVE in 2000
INITIAL CHANGES TO NATIONAL SUPERPAVE APPROACH

Removal of gradation restricted zone
Adoption of 1 gyration level
Elimination of BM-37.5
OTHER MODIFICATIONS SINCE 2000

Permeability requirement for surface mixes
Minimum AC content for BM-25.0A and D
Increase in RAP percentage without binder change
Addition of SM-9.0 and SM-4.75
PERCIEVED UNDERPERFORMANCE OF SUPERPAVE MIXES

• There is a clear perception by both VDOT and Industry leaders that our present Superpave mixes do not have enough liquid asphalt content and, as such, are not lasting as long as they could.

• This perception is supported by some recent data suggesting that our mixes are lasting 1-3 years less than previously determined. (McGhee/Clark)

• Majority of failures occurring due to age related cracking/fatigue.

• 2010 Research Report by G. W. Maupin “Investigation of Optimized Mixture Design for Superpave Surface Mixtures” recommended additional research on the subject.
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RESEARCH QUESTION

• Are dense graded mixes designed with SUPERPAVE system providing consistently longer service life compared to previous mixes?

• Criteria for Life
  • Durable or Fatigue resistant
  • Rutting resistant
  • No flushing
  • Skid resistant (surface mixes)

• Research Objective – Maximize the service life of dense graded asphalt mixes
Phase I – Superpave Designed Mix Analysis

- Comparisons
  - Volumetrics for 50, 65 and Locking Point Gyrations
  - Volumetric results for 50 and 75 blow Marshall
- Mix gradations
- Bag samples for future phases
Phase 1 – Sampled Mixes

SM – 9.5 Mixes:
• Branscome Deepwater
• Branscome Hampton
• Templeton Mt. Athos
• Superior Stevensburg

SM 12.5 Mixes:
• LeeHy New Kent
• Branscome Hampton
• Superior Stafford
VTM – SM 9.5 Mixes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VTM</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VTM – SM 12.5 Mixes

- VTM
- Superpave
- Marshall

50 SGC
65
LP
50
75

VDOT
VMA – SM 9.5 Mixes

Min. (Sup. & Marshall)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VMA</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VMA – SM 12.5 Mixes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SGC</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>Marshall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Min. (Sup. & Marshall)
SM - 9.5D Gradation
RESULTS FROM PHASE I

• VTM – Superpave Gyratory (SGC) produces approximately 2% lower VTM than the Marshall hammer.

• VMA – SGC produces approximately 1-2% lower VMA than the Marshall hammer.

• Review of the data did not produce a definitive “simple solution” (i.e., just reduce the number of gyrations).

• Seeking Results of Marshall Gradation in SGC.
Phase II (Modified) – Marshall Designed Mix Analysis

• **Comparisons**
  • Volumetric results for 50 and 75 blow Marshall
  • Volumetrics for 50 and 65 Gyrations

• **Mix gradations**

• **Bag samples for future phases**
Phase III – Research Laboratory Testing

Performance Tests (using mixes from Phase I & II)

• APA Rut testing
• Flow Number
• Dynamic Modulus
RESOURCES

- VAA Contractor members for Phase I & II
- VCTIR for Phase III
- VDOT/VCTIR/VAA for data analysis during each phase