



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
1401 EAST BROAD STREET
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219-2000

Stephen Brich
Commissioner

February ~~24~~²², 2018

RFP QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS TO ALL OFFERORS

Reference – Request for Proposal:	SB - 20180215
Project Description:	Limited Services Term Contract for Performing Safety Inspections of Highway Structures and Bridges in Staunton and Culpeper Districts
Commodity:	Engineering Services, Professional (92500)
Locations:	Staunton and Culpeper Districts
RFP Dated:	February 15, 2018
Expression of Interest Due Date:	March 13, 2018, 2:00 pm (No Change)

Question:

With regards to graphics within the RFP response, we understand that question 7 indicates that SF 330 Part I, Section H is the only section of the submission which may include pictures or graphics, however would VDOT consider allowing pictures or graphics within SF 330 Part I, Section F – projects?

Answer:

Pictures or graphics are not allowed in SF 330 Part I, Section F.

Question:

The RFP states, *“In response to this Request for Proposals, firms submitting an Expression of Interest as a Prime Consultant shall not serve as a sub-consultant on any other team that includes any of the same team member’s firms in which they are the Prime.”*

My interpretation of the above is that if we are a prime then we are not able to serve as a sub on a team where one of our sub-consultants is a prime. As an example, I interpret the following as allowed:

Firm A (Prime)	Firm B (Prime)
Firm B (Sub)	Firm C (Sub-DBE)
Firm C (Sub-DBE)	Firm D (Sub)

** Note that only Firm A would not be allowed to be a sub to Firm B (Prime)

However, one could interpret it that Firm A (Prime) + Firm B (Sub) and Firm B (Prime) shall not have any of the same sub-consultants, for example:

Firm A (Prime)	Firm B (Prime)
Firm B (Sub)	Firm C (Sub-DBE)
Firm C (Sub-DBE)	Firm D (Sub)

Answer:

The 2nd Scenario shown above is correct.

Question:

Who are the previous incumbents related to this contract?

Answer:

Volkert Inc. and Schwartz & Associates, Inc.

Question:

On page 10 under section 12e, the proposers are asked to emphasize qualifications in the areas of segmental concrete girders, curved girders, and cable-stayed bridges. I am unaware of any cable-stayed bridges in the Culpeper or Staunton Districts. Is it possible this is a carry-over from another Districts RFP? Should this be changed to another type of bridge that is in the Culpeper and Staunton Districts inventory?

Answer:

This item is used to evaluate the ability of each consultant team to perform work for other districts within Virginia, if necessary, through this contract.

Question:

Do you know where I can find the VDOT manual, (“Traffic Ancillary Structures Inventory and Inspection Manual dated June 30 2014”, the most current version of VDOT Structure and Bridge Division’s IIM-S&B-82)?

Answer:

Both the “Traffic Ancillary Structures Inventory and Inspection Manual” and the most current version of IIM-S&B-82 are readily available on the VDOT website (<http://www.virginiadot.org>).

Question:

Where I can find of VDOT Structure and Bridge Division's Instructional and Information Memorandums (IIM) IIM-S&B-27, IIM-S&B-78, and IIM-S&B-86?

Answer:

The most current versions of IIM-S&B-27, IIM-S&B-78, and IIM-S&B-86 are readily available on the VDOT website (<http://www.virginiadot.org>).

Thank you for your interest in VDOT's Professional Services Consultant Procurement Program.

Sudha Mudgade, P.E., PMP
Alternative Project Delivery