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• **Cultural Resources Identification Status**
  
  • **Area of Potential Effects for Cultural Resources**
    
    • Archaeology-Project Footprint/Easements
    
    • Historic Architecture-Larger areas that have unobstructed views to and from the project

• **Architecture and Archaeology**
  
  • Several previously identified cultural resources are present in the immediate vicinity of the project
  
  • Phase I Cultural Resources Survey is complete

• **Civil War Battlefields**
  
  • The project is not located within any identified battlefields
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Location of the Area of Potential Effects (APE)

Information on this slide contains the locations of sensitive archaeological sites and is not available to the public.
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Information on this slide contains the locations of sensitive archaeological sites and is not available to the Public.

Location of Previously Recorded Resources
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Archaeological Survey

Information on this slide contains the locations of sensitive archaeological sites and is not available to the Public.
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Archaeological Survey

This single Late Archaic (3000-1500 BC) projectile is the most notable archaeological find in the APE.
The information on this slide contains the locations of sensitive archaeological sites and is not available to the Public.
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Results of Architectural Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VDHR ID</th>
<th>Property Name Property Address</th>
<th>Property Description</th>
<th>VLR/ NRHP Status</th>
<th>JMA Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>053-0131</td>
<td>John G. Lewis Bridge (Catoctin Creek Bridge/Bridge 6051) Featherbed Lane over Catoctin Creek</td>
<td>Single-span Pratt through-truss bridge.</td>
<td>Listed on VLR 1/24/1974, listed on NRHP 7/25/1974</td>
<td>JMA recommends no change in this resource’s NRHP or VLR eligibility status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053-0326</td>
<td>Roach/Cooper Miller’s House Featherbed Lane</td>
<td>This resource is no longer extant</td>
<td>No formal eligibility determination by DHR</td>
<td>JMA recommends this resource not eligible for the VLR / NRHP because it has been demolished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053-6407</td>
<td>House 40701 Featherbed Lane</td>
<td>2-story tall, cross-gabled roof, eighteenth century vernacular house with board-and-batten exterior, rubble stone shed, and small barn</td>
<td>Previously unrecorded</td>
<td>JMA recommends this resource not eligible for the VLR / NRHP because it is not a significant example of an 18th century vernacular dwelling and modern alterations have lessened integrity of design and materials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No indication of an historic district in this vicinity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VDHR ID</th>
<th>JMA recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44LD1303</td>
<td>The identified components are not eligible to the NRHP; no further work is recommended. Construction activities should avoid the buried surface in the northern portion of the site. If not possible further work is recommended to investigate the deeply buried surface in ST J5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44LD0058</td>
<td>The identified components are not eligible to the NRHP; no further work is recommended. Construction activities should avoid the buried surface in the northern portion of the site. If not possible further work is recommended to investigate the deeply buried surface in ST 16.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053-0131</td>
<td>Listed on VLR 1/24/1974, listed on NRHP 7/25/1974; JMA recommends no change in the VLR/NRHP status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053-0326</td>
<td>Previously surveyed, no formal eligibility determination by DHR; JMA recommends this resource not eligible for the VLR/NRHP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053-6407</td>
<td>Previously unrecorded; JMA recommends this resource not eligible for the VLR/NRHP.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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We Are Here

Initiate Section 106 Process
- Establish undertaking
- Identify appropriate SHPO/THPO *
- Plan to involve the public
- Identify other consulting parties

Undertaking is type that might affect historic properties

Identify Historic Properties
- Determine scope of efforts
- Identify historic properties
- Evaluate historic significance

Historic properties are affected

Assess Adverse Effects
- Apply criteria of adverse effect

Historic properties are adversely affected

Resolve Adverse Effects
- Continue consultation

FAILURE TO AGREE
- Memorandum of Agreement
- COUNCIL COMMENT

Key Elements of the Section 106 Process
- The Roles of Participants
- Involving the Public
- Consultation
- Documentation
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Adverse Effects…What are they?

• An Adverse Effect is when an undertaking (project) directly or indirectly alters the characteristics of an historic property that qualifies it for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that diminishes the integrity of property’s location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association.

• What are the property’s character defining features?

• Examples of Adverse Effects:
  • partial or total demolition
  • restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, or handicap access that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior’s standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.
Next Steps

• 30-day review period of Phase I Cultural Resources report by the VDHR
  • Consulting Parties should provide comments on the Phase I report to me within the 30-day window.

• Address Adverse Effects of the Project on Historic Resources
  • Does the community have ideas for potential mitigation for the bridge project?

• Third anticipated Consulting Party meeting?
  • Tentative Topics to be Covered= Project Effect & Potential Mitigation Strategies
Next Steps

• Consulting Party Feedback/Questions

Can we agree to provide all written feedback to VDOT by August 24?